

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Samel Celebi

jc685 U.S. PTO



08/16/00

CASE *Celebi 3*

TITLE **Methods And Devices For Minimizing Interblock Interference
Using An Optimum Time Of Reference**

jc862 U.S. PTO
09/639642
08/16/00

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

Enclosed are the following papers relating to the above-named application for patent:

Specification

5 Sheets of drawings(s) containing FIGS. 1-5

1 Assignment with Cover Sheet

Declaration and Power of Attorney

1 Information Disclosure Statement

CLAIMS AS FILED

	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA	RATE	CALCULATIONS
Total Claims	10 - 20	0	x \$ 18.00 =	\$ 0
Independent Claims	2 - 3	0	x \$78.00 =	0
Multiple Dependent Claims(s), if applicable				
Basic Fee			\$690.00	\$ 690.00
			TOTAL FEE:	\$ 690.00

Please file the application and charge **Lucent Technologies Deposit Account No. 12-2325** the amount of \$690.00 to cover the filing fee. Duplicate copies of this letter are enclosed. In the event of non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit **Deposit Account No. 12-2325** as required to correct the error.

Please address all correspondence to: John E. Curtin, Esq., The Jefferson Law Firm, PLC, 6862 Elm Street, Seventh Floor, McLean, Virginia 22101. However, telephone calls should be made to me at (703) 790-3310 or (703) 266-9543.

Respectfully,
John E. Curtin
Registration No. 37,602
Attorney for Applicants

Date: August 16, 2000

Lucent Technologies Inc.
600 Mountain Avenue
P.O. Box 636
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974-0636

PT 13 8/92

METHODS AND DEVICES FOR MINIMIZING INTERBLOCK INTERFERENCE USING AN OPTIMUM TIME OF REFERENCE

5

Celebi-3

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

An optimum time of reference ("TOR") for a channel impulse response is computed in order to minimize interblock interference ("IBI"). The optimum TOR is
10 computed by taking into account that points along the tails of an impulse response contribute non-uniform amounts of IBI.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15 A "digital subscriber loop" ("DSL") is a type of communications connection and/or service which is now being offered by many local exchange carriers (e.g., telephone companies) to consumers and businesses alike as a way of receiving faster Internet connections and downloads.

20 When a signal $\delta(n)$, where "n" represents an increment of time, is fed into one end of a DSL channel, a scaled (i.e., amplified or attenuated) version of $\delta(n)$ and scaled versions of delayed replicas (i.e., echo) of $\delta(n)$ are received at the opposite end of the channel. This characteristic is known as the "memory effect" of the channel. The signal received at the opposite end of the channel is known as the impulse
25 response $h(n)$. Individual components of $h(n)$ determine how much each delayed replica of $\delta(n)$ is amplified or attenuated.

Discrete multi-tone (“DMT”) transceivers are devices which are designed to send and receive DSL-compatible signals (hereafter “DSL signals”) over DSL channels. The quality of a DSL signal received by a DMT transceiver depends on a number of factors. One such factor is the amount of interblock interference (“IBI”).

5 IBI is caused by the aforementioned memory effect. In particular, IBI results from portions of past information “blocks” leaking into current information blocks of a signal $\delta(n)$. DMT transceivers are designed to generate and insert a guard time sequence “M”, called a “cyclic prefix”, between each transmitted information block in an attempt to reduce the undesirable effects of IBI. The hope is that the information

10 from previous blocks will die out during this time sequence before it leaks into a current block. In order to completely eliminate IBI, the cyclic prefix M must be at least as long as the length “L” of the impulse response. However, this can rarely be achieved in practice because such a long cyclic prefix M would significantly decrease the throughput of a channel. Thus, it is necessary to use supplemental methods and

15 devices to reduce IBI.

FIG. 1 depicts a graph of a typical, simplified impulse response $h(n)$, of a DSL channel. The impulse response $h(n)$ and its associated length “L” may be expressed as:

20

$$h(n) = \sum_{-L_2}^{L_1} h_k \delta(n - k); \quad L = L_1 + L_2 + 1 \quad (1)$$

Impulse response $h(n)$ comprises a causal portion “ L_1 ” and a non-causal

25 portion “ L_2 ”. The causal portion L_1 consists of the real-time portion of the impulse

response $h(n)$. The non-causal portion L_2 consists of the delayed, or stored, portion of the impulse response $h(n)$. The impulse response $h(n)$ can be arbitrarily partitioned into three segments, namely: an “upper tail” $h_a(n)$; a “lower tail” $h_b(n)$; and a “main lobe” $h_c(n)$. The segments are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h_a(n) &= h(n + M + 1) \text{ for } n \leq 0; h_a(n) = 0 \text{ otherwise} \\ h_b(n) &= h(-n - 1) \text{ for } n \leq 0; h_b(n) = 0 \text{ otherwise} \\ h_c(n) &= h(n) \text{ for } 0 \leq n \leq M; h_c(n) = 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

The main lobe $h_c(n)$ comprises the segment of the impulse response $h(n)$ which falls within the time range of the cyclic prefix M . The location of $h(0)$ is the starting location of the main lobe $h_c(n)$. This location is called the time of reference (“TOR”). The tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ fall outside of the time range of the cyclic prefix M . Since the tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ are the only segments of the impulse response $h(n)$ which fall outside of the range of the cyclic prefix M , they are the only segments that contribute to IBI.

A known method for reducing IBI involves computing the TOR that maximizes the main lobe energy “ E_c ”, which is the energy under main lobe $h_c(n)$. The main lobe energy E_c is given by the following equation:

20

$$E_c = \sum_{n=0}^M h(n)^2 \quad (2)$$

According to this method, maximizing the main lobe energy E_c is achieved using a window correlation technique which correlates $h^2(n)$ with a rectangular windowing

function $w_1(n)$ of size "M+1" to generate a cross-correlation function and which computes a TOR. Using this technique, the location of the TOR corresponds to the maximum output value of the cross-correlation function. The windowing function $w_1(n)$ is shown in FIG. 2.

5

Computing the time of reference based on maximizing the main lobe energy E_c is similar to computing the time of reference such that the energy under the tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ is minimized. This approach is intuitive. Because the tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ alone contribute to IBI, it seems to follow that the impulse response $h(n)$ should be partitioned such that the tails have as little energy as possible. However, this approach erroneously assumes that individual points along the tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ contribute a uniform amount of IBI power. Therefore, although this approach reduces IBI, it does not provide the optimum TOR such that IBI is minimized.

15 The present inventor has discovered that, contrary to previous belief, that each point along the tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ contributes a non-uniform amount of IBI power. More specifically, the present inventor discovered that IBI power increases linearly from point to point, as the distance from the point to the main lobe $h_c(n)$ increases. Accordingly, it is desirable to provide methods and devices for computing the optimum time of reference so that IBI is minimized.

25

Other desires will become apparent to those skilled in the art from the following description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings and claims.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with the present invention, methods and devices are provided for minimizing interblock interference ("IBI") in a DSL signal. IBI is minimized by
5 computing an optimum time of reference ("TOR") for the impulse response of a communications channel. One device envisioned by the present invention comprises a transceiver module that is adapted to compute an optimum TOR by: generating a novel windowing function; generating a novel time of reference-optimizing function through minimizing a cross-correlation between the windowing function and the
10 square of the impulse response; computing an output value of the time of reference-optimizing function; and identifying the optimum TOR as the location of the output value. The computation of the optimum TOR minimizes IBI in a DSL signal prior to supplemental filtering of the signal. Furthermore, since the present invention reduces IBI in an impulse response, the performance of supplemental filters which are used to
15 further suppress IBI is improved.

The windowing function and time of reference-optimizing function envisioned by the present invention are based on the realization that the contribution of terms within the tails of an impulse response to IBI power increases linearly with the
20 distance of the terms from the main lobe of the impulse response. This discovery is contrary to the assumption of prior methods and devices that each term within the tails of an impulse response contributes equally to IBI power.

The present invention and its advantages can best be understood with
25 reference to the drawings, detailed description of the invention and claims that follow.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 depicts an example of an impulse response.

5

FIG. 2 depicts a windowing function used in known cross-correlation techniques for computing a time of reference.

FIG. 3 depicts a windowing function envisioned by one embodiment of the present invention.

10

FIG. 4 depicts a DSL sub-system comprising a novel transceiver module adapted to minimize IBI according to one embodiment of the present invention.

15

FIG. 5 depicts a comparison of average signal-to-noise ratios of an existing DMT transceiver versus a DMT transceiver comprising one embodiment of the present invention.

20

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides methods and systems for computing an optimum time of reference ("TOR") for a DMT transceiver. Methods and systems envisioned by the present invention minimize the amount of IBI prior to supplemental filtering.

25

FIG. 1 depicts a typical impulse response $h(n)$ of a DSL channel. The impulse response $h(n)$, and its associated length can be given by:

$$h(n) = \sum_{-L_2}^{L_1} h_k \delta(n-k); \quad L = L_1 + L_2 + 1 \quad (1)$$

where $\delta(n)$ is an input impulse signal, “ n ” is a unit of time, L_1 and L_2 are the lengths of causal and noncausal portions of $h(n)$, $h(0)$ is the location of the TOR, and “ M ” is the cyclic prefix. The upper tail, lower tail and main lobe of $h(n)$ are identified by $h_a(n)$, $h_b(n)$ and $h_c(n)$, respectively.

The present invention concerns minimizing IBI in a signal $\delta(n)$ that is created by the tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ of the impulse response $h(n)$. In order to minimize IBI in the signal $\delta(n)$, the total IBI power within the tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ must be minimized. Total IBI power, P_{IBI} , can be expressed using the following equation:

$$P_{IBI} = \sum_n (n+1)[h_a^2(n) + h_b^2(n)] \quad (3)$$

From equation (3), it can be shown that points along the tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ contribute non-uniform amounts of IBI power, in a linearly-increasing manner, as the distance of the points from the main lobe $h_c(n)$ of the impulse response $h(n)$ increases (hereafter referred to as contributing “non-uniform amounts of IBI”). That is, the contribution of $h(M+5)$, which is the fifth term of upper tail $h_a(n)$, to IBI power P_{IBI} is five times that of $h(M+1)$, which is the first term of upper tail $h_a(n)$. This principle,

which is contrary to previous belief, is disclosed in co-pending patent applications _____ and _____. It is also clear from the equation (3) that the main lobe $h_c(n)$ does not contribute to IBI power, as expected.

5 According to an illustrative embodiment of the present invention, a novel transceiver comprising a novel "windowing function" and a novel time of reference-optimizing function is adapted to minimize IBI by computing the optimum time of reference TOR for the impulse response $h(n)$. The optimum TOR is computed based on minimizing the total IBI power. More specifically, the optimum TOR is computed
10 by: generating a novel windowing function; generating a time of reference-optimizing function by minimizing a cross-correlation between the windowing function and the square of the impulse response $h(n)$ (i.e., minimizing total IBI power); computing the output value of the time of reference-optimizing function; and identifying the optimum TOR as the location of the output value. The windowing and time of
15 reference-minimizing functions are generated by taking into account the fact that that points along the tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ of impulse response $h(n)$ contribute non-uniform amounts of IBI.

 Computing the optimum TOR requires generating an illustrative windowing
20 function $w_2(n)$ shown in FIG. 3. Windowing function $w_2(n)$ reflects the fact that points along tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ of the impulse response $h(n)$ contribute non-uniform amounts of IBI power. The windowing function $w_2(n)$ replaces the windowing function $w_1(n)$ (shown in FIG. 2), which is erroneously used in existing methods to compute the TOR. As shown in FIG. 3, the windowing function $w_2(n)$ comprises a
25 mid-section of zero value. This section corresponds to the main lobe $h_c(n)$, and

reflects the fact that the main lobe $h_c(n)$ does not contribute to IBI power. The sections to the right and left of the mid-section correspond to the upper and lower tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$, respectively. The slope “m” of the left and right sections reflects the fact that points along the tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ contribute non-uniform amounts of IBI.

5

In an illustrative embodiment of the present invention, once the windowing function $w_2(n)$ has been generated, a time of reference-optimizing function F_{TOR} optimum is generated. The time of reference-optimizing function is given by:

$$10 \quad F_{TOR\ optimum} = \arg \min_m \sum_n h^2(n) w_2(n-m) \quad (4)$$

The time of reference-optimizing function $F_{TOR\ optimum}$ is generated by minimizing a cross-correlation of the windowing function $w_2(n)$ and $h^2(n)$ (i.e., the square of the impulse response $h(n)$). The time of reference-optimizing function $F_{TOR\ optimum}$ is in
15 essence a minimization function for the total IBI power, P_{IBI} .

In an illustrative embodiment of the invention, after an output value of the time of reference-optimizing function $F_{TOR\ optimum}$ is generated, the optimum TOR is identified as the location of the output value. By identifying the optimum TOR for a
20 minimized total IBI power P_{IBI} , it follows that the amount of IBI generated by the impulse response $h(n)$ is minimized.

FIG. 4 shows an example of a DSL sub-system 1. Sub-system 1 comprises a transmitter 100 and a novel device 200, such as a transceiver module, adapted to
25 minimize IBI. The transceiver module 200 envisioned by the present invention may

comprise the features and functions disclosed in either of co-pending patent applications _____ and _____. Transceiver module 200 is adapted to generate the novel windowing function $w_2(n)$ previously described and shown in FIG.

3. An example of how transceiver 200 operates is as follows.

5

Transmitter 100 generates and transmits a DSL signal $\delta_1(n)$, such as a DSL signal, through a communication channel 102, whose impulse response $h(n)$ associated with DSL signal $\delta_1(n)$ is known and graphically represented in FIG. 1. Upon exiting channel 102, signal $\delta_2(n)$ is received by transceiver module 200 using
10 means known in the art. Signal $\delta_2(n)$ comprises IBI created by the tails $h_a(n)$ and $h_b(n)$ of the impulse response $h(n)$.

Thereafter, transceiver module 200 is adapted to minimize IBI. More specifically, transceiver 200 is adapted to compute the optimum time of reference for
15 the impulse response $h(n)$ based on minimizing total IBI power P_{IBI} . Computing the optimum TOR comprises: generating the windowing function $w_2(n)$; generating a time of reference-optimizing function $F_{TOR\ optimum}$ through minimizing a cross-correlation between the windowing function $w_2(n)$ and the square of the impulse response $h(n)$; computing an output value of the time of reference-optimizing function
20 $F_{TOR\ optimum}$; and identifying the optimum TOR as the location of the output value.

Once the optimum TOR of impulse response $h(n)$ has been computed, transceiver module 200 is adapted to transmit a signal $\delta_3(n)$ comprising a minimum amount of IBI, without supplemental filtering, to other devices in the sub-system 1 via
25 channel 201. According to one embodiment of the invention, the signal $\delta_3(n)$ may be

transmitted to a supplemental filter (not shown) which is adapted to execute an algorithm to further suppress IBI. The supplemental filter may comprise a time domain equalizer ("TEQ"), such as the TEQ disclosed in either of co-pending patent applications _____ and _____. In such an embodiment, the performance of

5 the supplemental filter/TEQ is improved because the amount of IBI that must be suppressed by the filter is reduced.

The transceiver module 200 may comprise one or more integrated circuits, discrete devices or some combination of the two. According to alternative

10 embodiments of the present invention, transceiver module 200 may comprise a discrete multitone device such as a DMT transceiver, a component within a DMT transceiver, or a part of a TEQ.

It should be understood that module 200 is adapted to carry out all of the steps

15 for minimizing IBI described in more detail above.

FIG. 5 depicts a comparison of the average signal-to-noise ratios ("SNR") of two DMT receivers. The SNRs represented by the dotted line were generated by a DMT transceiver adapted to compute a TOR by maximizing the energy of the main

20 lobe of an impulse response using a conventional windowing function $w_1(n)$ while the SNRs represented by the continuous line were generated by a DMT transceiver according to one embodiment of the present invention adapted to compute an optimum TOR by minimizing total IBI power using the windowing function $w_2(n)$.

The SNRs were calculated using a communication channel which comprised an 8Kft, 24 AWG twisted pair copper wire. The cyclic prefix length was set at $M=16$ with a sampling frequency of 1.104 and a block length of $N=256$. As illustrated in FIG. 5, transceiver modules and/or DMT transceivers envisioned by the present

5 invention provide increased SNRs. These SNRs indicate a reduced amount of IBI.

It is to be understood that changes and variations may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the claims that follow.

10

15

20

25

We claim:

1. A device for minimizing interblock interference, wherein the device is adapted to compute an optimum time of reference based on minimizing total interblock interference power.
5
2. The device of claim 1, wherein computing an optimum time of reference comprises generating a windowing function which reflects the fact that points along tails of an impulse response contribute non-uniform amounts of interblock interference.
10
3. The device of claim 2, wherein computing an optimum time of reference further comprises generating a time of reference-optimizing function by minimizing a cross-correlation between the windowing function and a square of the impulse response.
15
4. The device of claim 3, wherein computing an optimum time of reference further comprises:
20
computing an output value of the time of reference-optimizing function;
and
identifying the optimum time of reference as a location of the output value.
- 25 5. The device of claim 1, wherein the device comprises a transceiver module.

6. The device of claim 1, wherein the device comprises a DMT transceiver.

7. A method for minimizing interblock interference comprising:

5

computing an optimum time of reference based on minimizing total
interblock interference power.

8. The method of claim 7, wherein computing an optimum time of reference
10 comprises generating a windowing function which reflects that points
along tails of an impulse response contribute non-uniform amounts of
interblock interference.

10

9. The method of claim 8, wherein computing an optimum time of reference
15 further comprises generating a time of reference-optimizing function by
minimizing a cross-correlation between the windowing function and a
square of the impulse response.

15

10. The method of claim 9, wherein computing an optimum time of reference
20 further comprises:

20

computing an output value of the time of reference-optimizing function;
and

25

identifying the optimum time of reference as a location of the output value.




FIG.1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

17




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Declaration and Power of Attorney

As the below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **Methods And Devices For Minimizing Interblock Interference Using An Optimum Time Of Reference** the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by an amendment, if any, specifically referred to in this oath or declaration.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

None

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

None

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute said application, to make alterations and amendments therein, to receive the patent, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Thomas J. Bean	(Reg. No. 44528)
Lester H. Birnbaum	(Reg. No. 25830)
Richard J. Botos	(Reg. No. 32016)
Jeffery J. Brosemer	(Reg. No. 36096)
Kenneth M. Brown	(Reg. No. 37590)
Donald P. Dinella	(Reg. No. 39961)
Guy Eriksen	(Reg. No. 41736)
Martin I. Finston	(Reg. No. 31613)
William S. Francos	(Reg. No. 38456)
Barry H. Freedman	(Reg. No. 26166)
Julio A. Garceran	(Reg. No. 37138)
Jimmy Goo	(Reg. No. 36528)
Anthony Grillo	(Reg. No. 36535)
Stephen M. Gurey	(Reg. No. 27336)
John M. Harman	(Reg. No. 38173)
Matthew J. Hodulik	(Reg. No. 36164)
Michael B. Johannesen	(Reg. No. 35557)
Mark A. Kurisko	(Reg. No. 38944)
Irena Lager	(Reg. No. 39260)
John B. MacIntyre	(Reg. No. 41170)
Christopher N. Malvone	(Reg. No. 34866)
Scott W. McLellan	(Reg. No. 30776)
Martin G. Meder	(Reg. No. 34674)
John C. Moran	(Reg. No. 30782)
Michael A. Morra	(Reg. No. 28975)
Gregory J. Murgia	(Reg. No. 41209)
Claude R. Narcisse	(Reg. No. 38979)
Joseph J. Opalach	(Reg. No. 36229)
Neil R. Ormos	(Reg. No. 35309)
Eugen E. Pacher	(Reg. No. 29964)
Jack R. Penrod	(Reg. No. 31864)
Gregory C. Ranieri	(Reg. No. 29695)
Scott J. Rittman	(Reg. No. 39010)
Ferdinand M. Romano	(Reg. No. 32752)
Eugene J. Rosenthal	(Reg. No. 36658)
Bruce S. Schneider	(Reg. No. 27949)
Ronald D. Slusky	(Reg. No. 26585)
David L. Smith	(Reg. No. 30592)
Ozer M. N. Teitelbaum	(Reg. No. 36698)
John P. Veschi	(Reg. No. 39058)

David Volejnicek	(Reg. No. 29355)
Charles L. Warren	(Reg. No. 27407)
Jeffrey M. Weinick	(Reg. No. 36304)
Eli Weiss	(Reg. No. 17765)

I hereby appoint the attorney on ATTACHMENT A as associate attorney in the aforementioned application, with full power solely to prosecute said application, to make alterations and amendments therein, to receive the patent, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected with the prosecution of said application. No other powers are granted to such associate attorney and such associate attorney is specifically denied any power of substitution or revocation.

Full name of sole inventor: Samel Celebi

Inventor's signature J. Celebi Date 8/15/2000

Residence: 181 Longhill Road, Unit H-6, Little Falls, New Jersey 07424

Citizenship: Turkey

Post Office Address: Same as residence

ATTACHMENT A

Attorney Name(s): John E. Curtin

Reg. No.: 37,602

Telephone calls should be made to John E. Curtin, Esq. at:

Phone No.: (703) 790-3310 or (703) 266-9543

Fax No.: (703) 790-2623

All written communications are to be addressed to:

John E. Curtin, Esq.
The Jefferson Law Firm, PLC
6862 Elm Street, Seventh Floor
McLean, VA 22101

F:\A - L\Lucent\Celebi 3\Dec & POA.wpd